20	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/646,456	CLARK ET AL.
Examiner-induced interview cuminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard Pantoliano Jr	2194
All Participants:	Status of Application:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(1) Richard Pantoliano Jr.	(3)	
(2) Naya Chatterjee-Marathe (Reg. No. 54,680)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 August 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		•
Claims discussed:		
1, 10-12, 18, 27, 32		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation. 	he examiner will provide a we record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		,
Bulind for the		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Ms. Chatterjee-Marathe on behalf of William Thomson, Supervisory Patent Examiner of art unit 2194, to inform her that upon evaluating Applicant's response and amendments filed 14 March 2007, incorporating the limitations of claims 10-12 into independent claims 1, 18, 27, and 32 would place the application in condition for allowance. Upon conferring with Applicant, Ms. Chatterjee-Marathe informed Examiner on 30 August 2007 to authorize an Examiner's Amendment to make the proposed changes.